Raywood, Simon

Sent: 09 December 2024 14:24

To: Morgan Offshore Wind Project **Subject:** RE: West Coast Sea Products Ltd

Attachments: D White track data _Morgan_Queenie career fishing_K Challenger.png; Kingfisher

Queen scallop tracks_Morgan recent.jpg; Jamie Clarke career Osprey BA4 2015-2021_Queen Scallop fishing Morgan.jpg; Morgan 2023_WCSP VMS.jpg;

Morgan 2018_WCSP VMS.jpg

CF 1.2

- 1. The major assessment by WCSP relates to Morgan since as developer says themselves will have a 5-10% impact. The major assessment also relates to both Mona and Morgan in operation with a significant spatial squeeze having been introduced.
- 2. The volume of landings and revenue are relative to one another. I.e. a 5-10% loss in lost landings shall mean a 5-10% in after tax earnings both for catching value, catcher earnings, processing turnover, employee earnings.
- 3. Please see attached
- 4. When yields are at their optimum during peak of the season there are usually 1-2 vessels operating within the proposal area of Morgan. If weather is poor, e.g. southwesterlies, then they shall fish in better shelter northeast of Anglesey, Liverpool Bay or south of Kirkcudbright closer to land.
- 5. This question would be better directed at the scientific community if they hold this data, as it is a data poor fishery.
- 6. This depends on how comprehensive the data is available to fishermen; if full information is given from cable/asset owners then skippers of vessels can lift and deploy fishing gear to avoid. The current experience of the Scallop fishing industry operating inside offshore windfarms and adjacent to telecom/power cables is that the information is data poor in terms of cable exposure and protection.

CF 1.4

Attached – not the most up-to-date footprint for some of these but gives the general Queen Scallop plotter data for 3 Queen Scallop career fishermen.

CF 1.5

REP1-059.4: NO The argument for publicly available data is irrelevant. SFF & member WCSP have made their assessment of perceived impact on recent fishing data which is relevant to them as an affected stakeholder of the development. The argument by WCSP is that over 50% of Queen Scallop fishing will be in the vicinity of OWF infrastructure which was previously untouched prior to the potential of Mona and Morgan OWF. SFF/WCSP acknowledge that there will be over a 50% increase in skippers having to fish in relation to neighbouring OWF infrastructure which may or may not have an effect on the habitat.

REP1-059.6: NO It is more reassuring that the applicant has noted there will only be a single row of turbines. The response by the applicant however does not address the perimeter concerns and speaks of other irrelevant details we already agree with (i.e. 1400m spacing). We cannot support the principle of the SMZ on the basis of it being bound by turbines with no guarantee of burial. Our view may be lessened in terms of impact if we knew the CBRA which is not publicly available, therefore with the expectation of minimal burial with high exposure likelihood at a highly dynamic seabed environment we anticipate a high level of impact.

REP1-059.11: NO PARTICULAR COMMENTS

REP1-059.27: NO The applicant again relies upon the CBRA and really does not address our concern.

Kind regards







Tel | |Fax

West Coast Sea Products Ltd, Dee Walk, Kirkcudbright, DG6 4DQ

From: Morgan Offshore Wind Project < Morgan Offshore Wind Project @planning in spectorate.gov.uk >

Sent: 25 November 2024 21:25

To: ; Morgan Offshore Wind Project

<MorganOffshoreWindProject@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: West Coast Sea Products Ltd

Dear ,

Thank you for your email to the Inspectorate.

A late submission responding to ExQ1 will be fine. Either I can process these as an Additional Submission (if the Examining Authority use their discretion to accept your responses into Examination in that way) which could be submitted at a point not connected to a deadline or we could process it alongside a Deadline for submission. I would suggest that the next Deadline, which is Deadline 4 on Tuesday 10 December 2024 could be an appropriate point, if that would be feasible for you and West Coast Sea Products Ltd.

Thank you for confirming that the representative of SFF will be also representing your views at the

hearing sessions this week.

Kind regards,



Case Manager – National Infrastructure
The Planning Inspectorate
T



Ensuring fairness, openness and impartiality across all our services

This communication does not constitute legal advice.

Please view our <u>Information Charter</u> before sending information to the Planning Inspectorate. Our <u>Customer Privacy Notice</u> sets out how we handle personal data in accordance with the law.

From:

Sent: Monday, November 25, 2024 3:18 PM

To: Morgan Offshore Wind Project < MorganOffshoreWindProject@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

Subject: West Coast Sea Products Ltd

You don't often get email from

Learn why this is important

Dear sir,

Please accept my apologies in relation to EXQ1 as I have not had the resources to respond and missed the questions relevant to our written representation. If there is an opportunity for a late submission we would gladly provide these within a specified time period, although completely understand a statuary deadline has been missed.

Fahim of SFF is representing our views at tomorrow's ISH2.

Kind regards







Tel | | Fax | West Coast Sea Products Ltd, Dee Walk, Kirkcudbright, DG6 4DQ

<u>Please take a moment to review the **Planning Inspectorate's Privacy Notice** which can be accessed by clicking this link.</u>

Please note that the contents of this email and any attachments are privileged and/or confidential and intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error and then delete this email from your system.

Recipients should note that e-mail traffic on Planning Inspectorate systems is subject to monitoring, recording and auditing to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The Planning Inspectorate has taken steps to keep this e-mail and any attachments free from viruses. It accepts no liability for any loss or damage caused as a result of any virus being passed on. It is the responsibility of the recipient to perform all necessary checks.

The statements expressed in this e-mail are personal and do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of the Inspectorate.

DPC:76616c646f72











